

**Proof Mining:
Applications of Proof Theory to Analysis I**

Ulrich Kohlenbach
Department of Mathematics
Darmstadt University of Technology
Germany

MAP 2006, Castro Urdiales, 9.-13. January 2006

New results by logical analysis of proofs

Input: Ineffective proof P of C

Goal: Additional information on C :

- effective bounds,
- algorithms,
- continuous dependency or full independence from certain parameters,
- generalizations of proofs: weakening of premises.

Logical methods I:

Elimination of detours (no lemmas): direct proofs

- Extraction and subsequent analysis of Herbrand terms (Herbrand 1930): used e.g. in H. Luckhardt's analysis of a proof of Roth's theorem (first polynomial bounds on number of solutions; also by Bombieri/van der Poorten).
- ε -term elimination (D. Hilbert, W. Ackermann, G. Mints): used in C. Delzell's effective versions of the 17th Hilbert problem.
- Cut-elimination (G. Gentzen, 1936): used in J.-Y. Girard's analysis of Van der Waerden's theorem and by A. Weiermann in combinatorics.

Limitations

- Techniques work only for restricted formal contexts: mainly purely universal ('algebraic') axioms, restricted use of induction, no higher analytical principles.
- Require that one can 'guess' the correct Herbrand terms: in general procedure results in proofs of length $2_n^{|P|}$, where $2_{n+1}^k = 2^{2_n^k}$ (n cut complexity).

Logical methods II: Proof Interpretations

- **interpret** the formulas A occurring in the proof $P : A \mapsto A^I$,
- interpretation C^I of the conclusion contains the **additional information** searched for,
- construct by **recursion on P** a new proof P^I of C^I .

Modus Ponens Problem:
$$\frac{A^I, (A \rightarrow B)^I}{B^I}.$$

Special case of the Modus Ponens-Problem

$$\frac{A \equiv \forall x \exists y \forall z A_{qf}(x, y, z) \quad \forall x \exists y \forall z A_{qf}(x, y, z) \rightarrow \forall u \exists v B_{qf}(u, v)}{\forall u \exists v B_{qf}(u, v)} .$$

1. Attempt: Explicit realization of existential quantifiers:

$$\frac{\forall x, z A_{qf}(x, \varphi(x), z) \quad \forall f \left(\forall x, z A_{qf}(x, f(x), z) \rightarrow \forall u B_{qf}(u, \Phi(u, f)) \right)}{\forall u B_{qf}(u, \Phi(u, \varphi))} .$$

Discussion

- works for **intuitionistic proofs** ('m-realizability').
- for **classical proofs** of A : i.g. no computable φ !

Examples

1) $P(x, y)$ decidable, but $Q(x) := \exists y P(x, y)$ undecidable.

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z (P(x, y) \vee \neg P(x, z))$$

logically true, but no computable φ ($x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$).

2) $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ nonincreasing sequence in $[0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$\text{PCM}(a_n) := \forall x \exists y \forall z \geq y (|a_y - a_z| \leq 2^{-x}).$$

Even for **prim.rec.** (a_n) i.g. **no computable bound** for y
(Specker 1947).

2. Attempt: Gödel's functional interpretation (1958)

$\forall x \exists y \forall z A_{qf}(x, y, z)$ classically provable $\stackrel{\text{Gödel(33)}}{\Rightarrow}$

$\forall x \neg \neg \exists y \forall z A_{qf}(x, y, z)$ intuitionistically provable \Rightarrow

$\forall x, g \exists y A_{qf}(x, y, g(y))$ semi-intuitionistically provable.

Consider

$$\forall x, g A_{qf}(x, \Phi(x, g), g(\Phi(x, g)))$$

(**no-counterexample interpretation**)

Again: Modus Ponens

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x, g A_{qf}(x, \Phi(x, g), g(\Phi(x, g))), \\ \forall u, Y (\forall x, g (A_{qf}(x, Y(x, g), g(Y(x, g)))) \rightarrow B_{qf}(u, \Omega(u, Y))). \end{array} \right.$$

Then: $\forall u B_{qf}(u, \Omega(u, \Phi))$.

Examples:

1) Define $\Phi(x, g) := \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } \neg P(x, g(x)) \\ g(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

2) $\Phi((a_n), x, g) :=$

$$\min y \leq \max_{i \leq 2^x} (g^i(0)) [g(y) \geq y \rightarrow |a_y - a_{g(y)}| \leq 2^{-x}].$$

3. Attempt: Monotone functional interpretation (K.96)

Definition 1 (Howard) (x^* majorizes x):

$$x^* \text{ maj}_0 x := x^* \geq x,$$

$$x^* \text{ maj}_{\rho \rightarrow \tau} x := \forall y^*, y (y^* \text{ maj}_\rho y \rightarrow x^* y^* \text{ maj}_\tau xy).$$

Extract Φ^*, Ω^* with

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists \Phi \left(\Phi^* \text{ maj } \Phi \wedge \forall x, g A_{qf}(x, \Phi(x, g), g(\Phi(x, g))) \right) \text{ and} \\ & \exists \Omega \left(\Omega^* \text{ maj } \Omega \wedge \forall u, Y (\dots \rightarrow B_{qf}(u, \Omega(u, Y))) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Define $F^*(u) := \Omega^*(u, \Phi^*)$. Then

$$\forall u \exists v \leq F^*(u) B_{qf}(u, v).$$

Examples

$$1) \Phi(x, g) := \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } \neg P(x, g(x)) \\ g(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Put: $\Phi^*(x, g) := \max(x, g(x))$ **independence from $P!$**

$$2) \Phi((a_n), x, g) := \min y \leq \max_{i \leq 2^x} (g^i(0)) [g(y) \geq y \rightarrow |a_y - a_{g(y)}| \leq 2^{-x}].$$

Put: $\Phi^*((a_n), x, g) := \max_{i \leq 2^x} (g^i(0))$ **independence from $(a_n)!$**

Extraction algorithm by MFI: **cubic complexity**

(M.-D.Hernest/K., TCS 2005).

Other uses of proof interpretations

- Combinations of negative and **Friedman/Dragalin translation** with **modified realizability** (Berger/Buchholz/Schwichtenberg 2002, Coquand/Hofmann 1999)
- Hayashi's **limit realizability**
- **Bounded functional interpretation** (Ferreira/Oliva 2004)

Proof interpretations as tool for generalizing proofs

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{I} & P^I \\ G \downarrow & & \downarrow I^G \\ P^G & \xrightarrow{G^I} & (P^I)^G = (P^G)^I \end{array}$$

- Generalization $(P^I)^G$ of P^I : **easy!**
- Generalization P^G of P : **difficult!**

Proof Mining in Analysis I: concrete spaces

- Context: **continuous functions** between constructively represented **Polish spaces**.
- Uniformity w.r.t. parameters from **compact** Polish spaces.
- Extraction of **bounds** from **ineffective** existence proofs.

K , 1993-96: P Polish space, K a compact P -space, A_{\exists} existential.
 BA := **basic arithmetic**, HBC Heine/Borel compactness (SEQ⁻ restricted sequential compactness) .

From a proof

$$BA + HBC(+SEQ^{-}) \vdash \forall x \in P \forall y \in K \exists m \in \mathbb{N} A_{\exists}(x, y, m)$$

one can extract a closed term Φ of BA (+iteration)

$$BA(+IA) \vdash \forall x \in P \forall y \in K \exists m \leq \Phi(f_x) A_{\exists}(x, y, m).$$

Important:

$\Phi(f_x)$ does **not depend** on $y \in K$ but on a **representation** f_x of x !

Logical comments

- Heine-Borel compactness = WKL (binary König's lemma).
 $\text{WKL} \vdash \text{strict-}\Sigma_1^1 \leftrightarrow \Pi_1^0$
(see applications in algebra by Coquand, Lombardi, Roy ...)
- Restricted sequential compactness = restricted arithmetical comprehension.

Limits of Metatheorem for concrete spaces

Compactness means constructively: **completeness** and **total boundedness**.

Necessity of completeness: The set $[0, 2]_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is totally bounded and constructively representable and

$$\text{BA} \vdash \forall q \in [0, 2]_{\mathbb{Q}} \exists n \in \mathbb{N} (|q - \sqrt{2}| >_{\mathbb{R}} 2^{-n}).$$

However: **no uniform bound on $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$!**

Necessity of total boundedness: Let B be the unit ball $C[0, 1]$. B is bounded and constructively representable.

By Weierstraß' theorem

$$\text{BA} \vdash \forall f \in B \exists n \in \mathbb{N} (n \text{ code of } p \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \text{ s.t. } \|p - f\|_\infty < \frac{1}{2})$$

but **no uniform bound** on $\exists n$: take $f_n := \sin(nx)$.

Necessity of A_{\exists} ‘ \exists -formula’:

Let (f_n) be the usual sequence of spike-functions in $C[0, 1]$, s.t. (f_n) converges pointwise but not uniformly towards 0. Then

$$\text{BA} \vdash \forall x \in [0, 1] \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \forall m \in \mathbb{N} (|f_{n+m}(x)| \leq 2^{-k}),$$

but **no uniform bound** on ‘ $\exists n$ ’ (proof based on Σ_1^0 -LEM).

Classically: uniform bound only if $(f_n(x))$ monotone (Dini):

‘ $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ ’ superfluous!

Necessity of $\Phi(f_x)$ depending on a representative of x :

Consider

$$\text{BA} \vdash \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \exists n \in \mathbb{N} ((n)_{\mathbb{R}} >_{\mathbb{R}} x).$$

Suppose there would exist an $=_{\mathbb{R}}$ -extensional computable $\Phi : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ producing such a n . Then Φ would represent a **continuous** and hence **constant** function $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which gives a contradiction.

MFI as numerical implication
(K./Oliva, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math 2003)

X, K Polish spaces, K compact, $f : (X \times)K(\times \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(X)$ (all BA -definable).

1) **MFI** transforms **uniqueness statements**

$$\forall x \in X, y_1, y_2 \in K \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^2 f(x, y_i) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0 \rightarrow d_K(y_1, y_2) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0 \right)$$

into **moduli of uniqueness** $\Phi : \mathbb{Q}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_+^*$

$$\forall x \in X, y_1, y_2 \in K, \varepsilon > 0 \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^2 |f(x, y_i)| < \Phi(x, \varepsilon) \rightarrow d_K(y_1, y_2) < \varepsilon \right).$$

More than 100-200 papers in the literature under the heading of **strong uniqueness**.

Let $\hat{y} \in K$ be the unique root of $f(x, \cdot)$, y_ε an approximate root $|f(x, y_\varepsilon)| < \varepsilon$. Then $d_K(\hat{y}, y_{\Phi(x, \varepsilon)}) < \varepsilon$.

THEOREM 2 (K.,93)

For $\mathcal{T} = BA + HBC(+SEC^-)$ as before

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{T} \vdash \forall x \in X \exists! y \in K (F(x, y) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0) \\ \exists BA(+iter.)\text{-definable computable function } G : X \rightarrow K \text{ s.t.} \\ BA(+IA) \vdash \forall x \in X (F(x, G(x)) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0) \end{array} \right.$$

(X, K are BA -definable Polish spaces, K compact,
 $F : X \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ BA -definable function).

2) M.f.i. transforms statements $f : K \rightarrow K$ is contractive

$$\forall x, y \in K (x \neq y \rightarrow d(f(x), f(y)) < d(x, y))$$

into **moduli of contractivity** $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow (0, 1)$ (Rakotch)

$$\forall x, y \in K, \varepsilon > 0 (d(x, y) > \varepsilon \rightarrow d(f(x), f(y)) < \alpha(\varepsilon)d(x, y)).$$

3) $f : K \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ s.t. $(f(x, n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non-increasing for $x \in K$. **MFI** transforms the statement

$$f(x, n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

into a **modulus of uniform convergence** $\delta : \mathbb{Q}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$\forall x \in K \forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall n \geq \delta(\varepsilon) (f(x, n) < \varepsilon).$$

(Numerous papers on such δ e.g. in metric fixed point theory).

The semi-classical case

Consider the **intuitionistic** version \mathbf{BA}_i of \mathbf{BA} .

AC = full axiom of choice in all types

$\mathbf{CA}_{\neg} : \exists \Phi \forall x^{\rho} (\Phi(x) =_0 0 \leftrightarrow \neg A(x))$ A and ρ **arbitrary**.

Observation: \mathbf{CA}_{\neg} implies WKL (and even UWKL) and the law of excluded middle for negated (and for \exists -free) formulas.

K., 1998: P Polish space, K a compact P -space, A **arbitrary**.

From a proof

$$BA_i + AC + CA_{\neg} \vdash \forall x \in P \forall y \in K \exists m \in \mathbb{N} A(x, y, m)$$

one can extract a closed term Φ of BA_i

$$BA_i + AC + CA_{\neg} \vdash \forall x \in P \forall y \in K \exists m \leq \Phi(f_x) A(x, y, m).$$

The purely intuitionistic case (without CA_{\neg}) is known as **fan rule** (Troelstra 1977).

**Proof Mining:
Applications of Proof Theory to Analysis II**

Ulrich Kohlenbach
Department of Mathematics
Darmstadt University of Technology
Germany

MAP 2006, Castro Urdiales 9.-13. January 2006

Case study: strong unicity in L_1 -approximation

P_n space of polynomials of degree $\leq n$, $f \in C[0, 1]$,

$$\|f\|_1 := \int_0^1 |f|, \quad \text{dist}_1(f, P_n) := \inf_{p \in P_n} \|f - p\|_1.$$

Best **approximation in the mean** of $f \in C[0, 1]$:

$$\forall f \in C[0, 1] \exists! p_b \in P_n (\|f - p_b\|_1 = \text{dist}_1(f, P_n))$$

(existence and uniqueness: WKL!)

THEOREM 3 (K./Paulo Oliva, APAL 2003) Let

$dist_1(f, P_n) := \inf_{p \in P_n} \|f - p\|_1$ and ω a modulus of uniform continuity for f .

$$\Psi(\omega, n, \varepsilon) := \min\left\{\frac{c_n \varepsilon}{8(n+1)^2}, \frac{c_n \varepsilon}{2} \omega_n\left(\frac{c_n \varepsilon}{2}\right)\right\}, \text{ where}$$

$$c_n := \frac{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor! \lceil n/2 \rceil!}{2^{4n+3} (n+1)^{3n+1}} \text{ and}$$

$$\omega_n(\varepsilon) := \min\left\{\omega\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right), \frac{\varepsilon}{40(n+1)^4 \lceil \frac{1}{\omega(1)} \rceil}\right\}.$$

Then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, p_1, p_2 \in P_n$

$$\forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_+^* \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^2 (\|f - p_i\|_1 - dist_1(f, P_n) \leq \Psi(\omega, n, \varepsilon)) \rightarrow \|p_1 - p_2\|_1 \leq \varepsilon \right).$$

Comments on the result in the L_1 -case

- Ψ provides the **first effective version** of results due to Bjoernestal (1975) and Kroó (1978-1981).
- Kroó (1978) implies that the ε -dependency in Ψ is **optimal**.
- Ψ allows the **first complexity upper bound** for the sequence of best L_1 -approximations (p_n) in P_n of poly-time functions $f \in C[0, 1]$:

THEOREM 4 (P. Oliva, MLQ 2003)

$(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strongly **NP** computable in $\mathbf{NP}[B_f]$, where B_f is an oracle for a general left cut of $\|f - p\|_1$.

The nonseparable/noncompact case

Proposition 5 *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a strictly convex normed space and $C \subseteq X$ a convex subset. Then any point $x \in X$ has at most one point $c \in C$ of minimal distance, i.e. $\|x - c\| = \text{dist}(x, C)$.*

Hence: if X is separable and complete and provably strictly convex and C compact, then one can extract a modulus of uniqueness.

Observation: compactness only used to extract uniform bound on strict convexity (= **modulus of uniform convexity**) from proof of strict convexity.

Assume that X is uniformly convex with modulus η .

Then for $d \geq \text{dist}(x, C)$ we have the following modulus of uniqueness (K.1990):

$$\Phi(\varepsilon) := \min \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}, d \cdot \frac{\eta(\varepsilon/(d+1))}{1 - \eta(\varepsilon/(d+1))} \right).$$

Conclusion: neither compactness nor separability required!

Proposition 6 (Edelstein 1962) K compact metric space, $f : K \rightarrow K$ contractive, $x_n := f^n(x)$. Then for all $x \in K : x_n \rightarrow c$, where $c \in K$ is unique s.t. $f(c) = c$.

'Contractivity' (CT), 'uniqueness' (UN) and 'asymptotic regularity'

$$(AS) : d(x_n, f(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$$

have the logical form of the meta-theorem, whereas '(x_n) converges' has not. M.f.i.

- enriches f with a modulus of contractivity α ,
- produces moduli Φ, δ of uniqueness and asymptotic regularity,
- builds modulus of convergence κ towards c out of Φ, δ :

$$\kappa(\varepsilon, D_K) = \frac{\log((1 - \alpha(\varepsilon))^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}) - \log D_K}{\log \alpha((1 - \alpha(\varepsilon))^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}})} + 1.$$

Observation: If f is given with α only boundedness of K needed!

Remark 7 • Using a direct constructive proof one gets an improved modulus (Gerhardy/K., APAL 2006)

$$\delta(\alpha, b, \varepsilon) = \left\lceil \frac{\log \varepsilon - \log d_K}{\log \alpha(\varepsilon)} \right\rceil.$$

- Recently, E. Briseid obtained a quantitative version of a much more general fixed point theory due to Kincses and Totik for **generalized p -contractive mappings** (see his talk).
- P. Gerhardy (JMAA 2006) obtained an effective version of another generalization to Kirk's **asymptotically contractive mappings**. Some further results in this direction are due to E. Briseid.

In recent years (2000-2004) an extended case study in metric fixed point theory has been carried out (partly with **P. Gerhardy, B. Lambov, L. Leuştean**):

$(X, \|\cdot\|)$ normed linear space, $C \subset X$ convex, bounded,
 $f : C \rightarrow C$ nonexpansive (n.e.)

$$\forall x, y \in C (\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \|x - y\|).$$

More than 1000 papers on the fixed point theory of such mapping!

Our results concern the **asymptotics**

$$\|x_n - f(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$$

of **Krasnoselski-Mann** iterations

$$x_0 := x, \quad x_{n+1} := (1 - \lambda_n)x_n + \lambda_n f(x_n), \quad \lambda_n \in [0, 1]$$

under various conditions on $(\lambda_n), (X, \|\cdot\|)$:

- (λ_k) is divergent in sum,
- $\forall k \geq k_0 (\lambda_k \leq 1 - \frac{1}{K})$ for some $K \in \mathbb{N}$.

THEOREM 8 (Borwein-Reich-Shafrir,1992)

For the Krasnoselski-Mann iteration (x_n) starting from $x \in C$ one has

$$\|x_n - f(x_n)\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} r_C(f),$$

where $r_C(f) := \inf_{x \in C} \|x - f(x)\|$.

COROLLARY 9 (Ishikawa,1976)

If $d(C) := \text{diam}(C) < \infty$, then $\|x_n - f(x_n)\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

Proofs based on $(\|x_n - f(x_n)\|)$ being **non-increasing!**

- Also for **hyperbolic spaces** and **directionally n.e. functions**.
- For **uniformly convex spaces**: even **asymptotically (quasi-)nonexpansive mappings**.

Case studies II: general observations

- 1) Extraction works for **general classes** of (not necessarily Polish or constructive) spaces.
- 2) Uniformity even for metrically **bounded** (non-compact) spaces.
- 3) For **bounded** subsets C , assumptions

$$(1) \exists x \in C (f(x) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0)$$

can be reduced to their ' **ε -weakenings**'

$$(2) \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists x \in C (|f(x)| < \varepsilon)$$

even when (1) is **false** while (2) is **true!**

Question: Are there **logical meta-theorems** to explain 1)-3)?

Hyperbolic Spaces

Definition 10 (Takahashi, Kirk, Reich)

A **hyperbolic space** is a triple (X, d, W) where (X, d) is metric space and $W : X \times X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ s.t.

$$(i) \quad d(z, W(x, y, \lambda)) \leq (1 - \lambda)d(z, x) + \lambda d(z, y),$$

$$(ii) \quad d(W(x, y, \lambda), W(x, y, \tilde{\lambda})) = |\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}| \cdot d(x, y),$$

$$(iii) \quad W(x, y, \lambda) = W(y, x, 1 - \lambda),$$

$$(iv) \quad d(W(x, z, \lambda), W(y, w, \lambda)) \leq (1 - \lambda)d(x, y) + \lambda d(z, w).$$

Examples: Open unit disk $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ and **Hilbert ball** with hyperbolic metric, Hadamard manifolds.

- a **CAT(0)-spaces (Gromov)** is a hyperbolic space (X, d, W) which satisfies the **CN**-inequality of Bruhat-Tits

$$\begin{cases} d(y_0, y_1) = \frac{1}{2}d(y_1, y_2) = d(y_0, y_2) \rightarrow \\ d(x, y_0)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}d(x, y_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}d(x, y_2)^2 - \frac{1}{4}d(y_1, y_2)^2. \end{cases}$$

- **convex subsets of normed spaces** = hyperbolic spaces (X, d, W) with homothetic distance (Machado (1973)).

Notation: $(1 - \lambda)x \oplus \lambda y := W(x, y, \lambda)$.

Functionals of finite type over \mathbb{N}, X

Types: (i) \mathbb{N}, X are types, (ii) with ρ, τ also $\rho \rightarrow \tau$ is a type.

Functionals of type $\rho \rightarrow \tau$ map objects of type ρ to objects of type τ .

$\mathbf{PA}^{\omega, X}$ is the extension of Peano Arithmetic to all types.

Real numbers x are represented as **Cauchy sequences** (r_n) of rational numbers with rate of convergence 2^{-n} (can be encoded as functions $f^{\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}}$ of type $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$).

On these representatives one can define an **equivalence relation**

$$f =_{\mathbb{R}} g := \forall n^{\mathbb{N}} (|f(n+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} g(n+1)| \leq 2^{-n}),$$

which expresses that f and g represent the same real number.

Classical Analysis

$\mathcal{A}^{\omega, X} := \text{PA}^{\omega, X} + \text{AC}^{\mathbb{N}}$, where

$\text{AC}^{\mathbb{N}}$: countable axiom of choice for all types

which implies **full comprehension** for numbers:

CA : $\exists f^{\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}} \forall n^{\mathbb{N}} (f(n) = 0 \leftrightarrow A(n))$, A arbitrary.

Based on a **quantifier-free extensionality rule**

$$\frac{A_{qf} \rightarrow s =_{\rho} t}{A_{qf} \rightarrow r[s] =_{\tau} r[t]},$$

where only $x =_{\mathbb{N}} y$ primitive equality predicate but for $\rho \rightarrow \tau$

$$x^X =_X y^X := d_X(x, y) =_{\mathbb{R}} 0_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$$s =_{\rho \rightarrow \tau} t := \forall v^\rho (s(v) =_\tau t(v)).$$

The theory $\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, W]$ results by adding constants b_X, d_X, W_X axiom expressing that (X, d, W) is a nonempty b -bounded hyperbolic space.

Definition 11

$F \equiv \forall \underline{a}^\sigma F_{qf}(\underline{a})$ (resp. $F \equiv \exists \underline{a}^\sigma F_{qf}(\underline{a})$) is a \forall -formula (\exists -formula) if F_{qf} is **quantifier-free** and \underline{a} are of the kind $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, X, \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X, X \rightarrow X$.

Definition 12 For $x \in [0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$ define $(x)_\circ \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$(x)_\circ(n) := j(2k_0, 2^{n+1} - 1),$$

where

$$k_0 := \max k \left[\frac{k}{2^{n+1}} \leq x \right].$$

Lemma 13 1) If $x \in [0, \infty)$, then $(x)_\circ$ is a representative of x in the sense of our representation above.

2) If $x, x^* \in [0, \infty)$ and $x^* \geq x$ (in the sense of \mathbb{R}), then $(x^*)_\circ \geq_{\mathbb{R}} (x)_\circ$ and also $(x^*)_\circ \geq_1 (x)_\circ$.

3) $x \in [0, \infty]$, then $(x)_\circ$ is monotone, i.e. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} ((x)_\circ(n) \leq_0 (x)_\circ(n+1))$.

4) If $b \in \mathbb{N}$ $x, x^* \in [0, b]$ with $x^* \geq x$ then $(x^*)_\circ$ s-maj₁ $(x)_\circ$.

Definition 14 Let X be a non-empty set. The full set-theoretic type structure $\mathcal{S}^{\omega, X} := \langle S_\rho \rangle_{\rho \in \mathbf{T}^X}$ over \mathbf{IN} and X is defined by

$$S_0 := \mathbf{IN}, \quad S_X := X, \quad S_{\tau \rightarrow \rho} := S_\rho^{S_\tau}.$$

Here $S_\rho^{S_\tau}$ is the set of all set-theoretic functions $S_\tau \rightarrow S_\rho$.

Definition 15 A sentence of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, W])$ holds in a bounded hyperbolic space (X, d, W) if it holds in the model of $\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, W]$ obtained by letting the variables range over the appropriate universes of $\mathcal{S}^{\omega, X}$ with the set X as the universe for the base type X where b_X is interpreted as some integer upper bound for d ,

$$[W_X]_{\mathcal{S}^{\omega, X}}(x, y, \lambda^1) := W(x, y, r_{\tilde{\lambda}})$$

$$[d_X]_{\mathcal{S}^{\omega, X}}(x, y) := (d(x, y))_\circ,$$

where $r_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ is the real number $\in [0, 1]$ represented by

$$\tilde{\lambda}^1 := \min_{\mathbb{R}}(1_{\mathbb{R}}, \max_{\mathbb{R}}(0_{\mathbb{R}}, \lambda)).$$

THEOREM 16 (K., Trans.AMS,2005) Let P (resp. K) be a Polish (resp. compact) space and let $\underline{\tau}$ be of degree (\mathbb{N}, X) , B_{\forall} (C_{\exists}) be a \forall -formula (\exists -formula). If

$$\forall x \in P \forall y \in K \forall \underline{z}^{\underline{\tau}} (\forall u^{\mathbb{N}} B_{\forall}(x, y, \underline{z}, u) \rightarrow \exists v^{\mathbb{N}} C_{\exists}(x, y, \underline{z}, v))$$

is **provable in $\mathcal{A}^{\omega}[X, d, W]$** , then there exists a **computable** $\Phi : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for all representatives $f_x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ of $x \in P$ and all $b \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\forall y \in K \forall \underline{z}^{\underline{\tau}} [\forall u \leq \Phi(f_x, b) B_{\forall} \rightarrow \exists v \leq \Phi(f_x, b) C_{\exists}]$$

holds in any (nonempty) b -bounded hyperbolic space (X, d, W) .

Comments

- Also holds for **bounded convex subsets** of **normed spaces**.
- Applies to **uniformly convex** spaces: then bound depends on **modulus of convexity**.
- One can also treat **inner product spaces**.
- Applies to **totally bounded** spaces: then bound depends on **modulus of total boundedness**.
- Applies to **metric completion** of spaces.
- **Several spaces** and their **products** possible (with P. Gerhardy).

COROLLARY 17 (K., Trans. AMS, 2005)

If $\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, W]$ proves

$$\forall x \in P \forall y \in K \forall z^X, f^{X \rightarrow X} (f \text{ n.e.} \wedge \text{Fix}(f) \neq \emptyset \rightarrow \exists v^{\mathbb{N}} C_\exists)$$

then there is a **computable functional** $\Phi(f_x, b)$ s.t. for all $x \in P, f_x$ representative of $x, b \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\forall y \in K \forall z \in X \forall f : X \rightarrow X (f \text{ n.e.} \rightarrow \exists v \leq \Phi(f_x, b) C_\exists)$$

holds in any **b -bounded hyperbolic space** (X, d, W) .

Next Lecture: Much refined metatheorems for unbounded spaces (with P. Gerhardy)!

A uniform boundedness principle for X -type

THEOREM 18 (K.2006) The previous results also hold if the following (classically false) principle of **uniform \exists -uniform boundedness**

$$\exists\text{-UB}^X := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall y^{0 \rightarrow 1} \left(\forall k^0 \forall x \leq_1 yk \forall \underline{z}^\tau \exists n^0 A_\exists \rightarrow \right. \\ \left. \exists \chi^1 \forall k^0 \forall x \leq_1 yk \forall \underline{z}^\tau \exists n \leq \chi(k) A_\exists \right) \end{array} \right.$$

is added to $\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, W]$.

Here τ are types of degree (\mathbb{N}, X) and A_\exists is an \exists -formula (extends results from K. 1996 for the case without X).

Limit of Metatheorems for case of abstract spaces

Full extensionality together with **Markov's principle** are in conflict with metatheorem:

$$\forall f^{X \rightarrow X}, x^X, y^X (x =_X y \rightarrow f(x) =_X f(y))$$

yields with Markov's principle

$$\forall f^{X \rightarrow X}, x^X, y^X, k \exists n (d_X(x, y) < 2^{-n} \rightarrow d_X(f(x), f(y)) < 2^{-k})$$

and hence with metatheorem:

All functions $f : X \rightarrow X$ have a common continuity modulus
(which only depends on the bound b of the metric).

**Proof Mining:
Applications of Proof Theory to Analysis III**

Ulrich Kohlenbach
Department of Mathematics
Darmstadt University of Technology
Germany

MAP 2006, Castro Urdiales 9.-13. January 2006

Refinements (Gerhardy/K.2005)

1) For $\rho \in T^X$ we define $\hat{\rho} \in T$ by:

$$\hat{0} := 0, \quad \hat{X} := 0, \quad \widehat{\rho \rightarrow \tau} := \hat{\rho} \rightarrow \hat{\tau}.$$

2) $\underset{\sim}{\geq}_\rho^a$ is the following ternary relation between functionals x, y of types $\hat{\rho}, \rho$ and a^X of type X :

$$x^0 \underset{\sim}{\geq}_0^a y^0 := x \geq y$$

$$x^0 \underset{\sim}{\geq}_X^a y^X := (x)_{\mathbb{R}} \geq_{\mathbb{R}} d_X(y, a)$$

$$x \underset{\sim}{\geq}_{\rho \rightarrow \tau}^a y := \begin{cases} \forall z', z (z' \underset{\sim}{\geq}_\rho^a z \rightarrow xz' \underset{\sim}{\geq}_\tau^a yz) \wedge \\ \forall z', z (z' \underset{\sim}{\geq}_{\hat{\rho}}^a z \rightarrow xz' \underset{\sim}{\geq}_{\hat{\tau}}^a xz). \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 19 (Gerhardy/K.2005) Let $P, K, \tau, B_{\forall}, C_{\exists}$ be as before. If $\mathcal{A}^{\omega}[X, d, W]_{-b}$ proves

$$\forall x \in P \forall y \in K \forall z^{\tau} (\forall u^{\mathbb{N}} B_{\forall}(x, y, z, u) \rightarrow \exists v^{\mathbb{N}} C_{\exists}(x, y, z, v)),$$

then there exists a **computable**

$\Phi : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbb{N})} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ s.t. the following holds in every nonempty hyperbolic space: for all representatives $f_x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ of $x \in P$ and all $z \in S_{\tau}, z^* \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ s.t. $\exists a \in X (z^* \succeq_{\tau}^a z)$:

$$\forall y \in K [\forall u \leq \Phi(f_x, z^*) B_{\forall} \rightarrow \exists v \leq \Phi(f_x, z^*) C_{\exists}].$$

Refined version of corollary 17 (Gerhardy/K.2005)

COROLLARY 20 1) Let $P, K, \tau, B_{\forall}, C_{\exists}$ be as before. If

$\mathcal{A}^{\omega}[X, d, W]_{-b}$ proves

$$\forall x \in P \forall y \in K \forall z^X \forall f^{X \rightarrow X} (f \text{ n.e.} \wedge \forall u^0 B_{\forall} \rightarrow \exists v^0 C_{\exists}),$$

then there exists a **computable functional**

$\Phi : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ s.t. for all representatives $r_x \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ of $x \in P$ and all $b \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall y \in K \forall z^X \forall f^{X \rightarrow X} (f \text{ n.e.} \wedge d_X(z, f(z)) \leq b \\ \wedge \forall u^0 \leq \Phi(r_x, b) B_{\forall} \rightarrow \exists v^0 \leq \Phi(r_x, b) C_{\exists}) \end{aligned}$$

holds in **all nonempty hyperbolic spaces** (X, d, W) .

Analogously, for $\mathcal{A}^{\omega}[X, d, W, \text{CAT}(0)]_{-b}$.

- 2) If additional parameter $\forall z' \in X$ then $d_X(z, z') \leq_{\mathbb{R}} b$ needed.
- 3) If additional parameter $\forall c \in C$ then $\forall n (d_X(z, c(n)) \leq g(n))$ needed and bound depends on g .
- 4) 1., 2., 3. also hold if ‘ f n.e.’ replaced by ‘ f Lipschitzian’, ‘ f Hölder-Lipschitzian’ or ‘ f uniformly continuous’. The the bound depends also on the constants/moduli.
- 5) 1., 2., 3. also hold if ‘ f n.e.’ replaced by ‘ f weakly quasi-nonexpansive (with fixed point p)’. Then premise ‘ $d_X(z, p) \leq b$ ’ in the conclusion.
- 6) 1., 2., 3. also hold if ‘ f n.e.’ is replaced by

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \tilde{z} \in X (d_X(z, \tilde{z}) < n \rightarrow d_X(z, f(\tilde{z})) \leq \Omega(n)).$$

The bound only depends on Ω instead of b .

Elimination of fixed points (Gerhardy/K.2005)

Definition 21 \mathcal{H} = formulas with prenexation

$\exists x_1^{\rho_1} \forall y_1^{\tau_1} \dots \exists x_n^{\rho_n} \forall y_n^{\tau_n} F_{\exists}(\underline{x}, \underline{y})$, where F_{\exists} is an \exists -formula, ρ_i of degree 0 and τ_i of degree 1 or $(0, X)$.

COROLLARY 22 Let A be in \mathcal{H} . If $\mathcal{A}^{\omega}[X, d, W]_{-b}$ proves

$$\forall x \in P \forall y \in K \forall z^X, f^{X \rightarrow X} (f \text{ n.e.} \wedge \text{Fix}(f) \neq \emptyset \rightarrow A)$$

then the following holds in every hyperbolic space:

$$\forall x \in P \forall y \in K \forall z^X, f^{X \rightarrow X} \\ (f \text{ n.e.} \wedge \exists b^0 \forall \varepsilon > 0 (\text{Fix}_{\varepsilon}(f, z, b) \neq \emptyset) \rightarrow A).$$

Analogously, for $\mathcal{A}^{\omega}[X, d, W, \text{CAT}(0)]_{-b}$.

Similarly for Lipschitzian etc. functions.

Comments

- Also holds for **normed spaces** (additional condition ' $\|x\| \leq b$ '), **convex subsets** $C \subset X$, **CAT(0)-spaces**.
- One can also treat **inner product spaces**.
- Applies to **uniformly convex** spaces: then bound depends on **modulus of convexity**.
- Applies to **totally bounded** spaces: then bound depends on **modulus of total boundedness**.
- **Several spaces** and their **products** possible.
- Applies to **metric completion** of spaces.

General assumptions

- (X, d, W) is a (non-empty) **hyperbolic space**.
- $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a **nonexpansive mapping**.
- (λ_n) is a sequence in $[0, 1]$ that is **bounded away from 1** and **divergent in sum**.
- $x_{n+1} = (1 - \lambda_n)x_n \oplus \lambda_n f(x_n)$ (**Krasnoselski-Mann iter.**).

THEOREM 23 (Ishikawa 1976, Goebel/Kirk 1983)

If (x_n) is bounded, then $d(x_n, f(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$.

THEOREM 24 (Borwein/Reich/Shafir 1992)

$$d(x_n, f(x_n)) \rightarrow r(f) := \inf_{y \in X} d(y, f(y)).$$

Corollary to refined metatheorem

COROLLARY 25 (Gerhardy/K.2005)

If $\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, W]_{-b}$ proves

$$\forall x \in P \forall y \in K \forall z^X, f^{X \rightarrow X} (f \text{ n.e.} \rightarrow \exists v^{\mathbb{N}} C_\exists)$$

then there is a **computable functional** $\Phi(g_x, b)$ s.t. for all $x \in P, g_x$ representative of $x, b \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\forall y \in K \forall z \in X \forall f^{X \rightarrow X} (f \text{ n.e.} \wedge d(z, f(z)) \leq b \rightarrow \exists v \leq \Phi(g_x, b) C_\exists)$$

holds in **any** nonempty hyperbolic space (X, d, W) .

Application 1

Let $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [0, 1 - \frac{1}{k}]$ with $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (n \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha(n)} \lambda_i)$ and

(x_n) the **Krasnoselski-Mann iteration** of f starting from x .

Then by Ishikawa(76), Goebel/Kirk(83), $\mathcal{A}^\omega[X, d, W]_{-b}$ proves

$$(x_n) \text{ bounded} \wedge f \text{ n.e.} \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, f(x_n)) = 0.$$

By the cor. there is a **computable Φ s.t.** $\forall l \forall m \geq \Phi(k, \alpha, b, l)$

$$(x_n) \text{ } b\text{-bounded} \wedge f \text{ n.e.} \rightarrow d(x_m, f(x_m)) < 2^{-l}$$

holds in **any (nonempty) hyperbolic space (X, d, W) .**

(normed case: K., Numer.Funct.Opt.2001/JMAA 2003,

hyperbolic, directionally n.e.: Leustean/K., Abstr.Appl.Anal.2003)

Known uniformity results in the bounded case

blue = hyperbolic, green = dir.nonex., red = both.

- Krasnoselski(1955): X unif.convex, C compact, $\lambda_k = \frac{1}{2}$, no uniform.
- Browder/Petryshyn(1967): X unif.convex, $\lambda_k = \lambda$, no uniformity.
- Groetsch(1972): X unif. convex, allg. λ_k , X , no uniformity
- Ishikawa (1976): No uniformity
- Edelstein/O'Brien (1978): Uniformity w.r.t. $x_0 \in C$ ($\lambda_k := \lambda$)
- Goebel/Kirk (1982): Uniformity w.r.t. x_0 and f . General λ_k
- Kirk/Martinez (1990): Uniformity for unif. convex X , $\lambda := 1/2$
- Goebel/Kirk (1990): Conjecture: no uniformity w.r.t. C
- Baillon/Bruck (1996): Uniformity w.r.t. x_0, f, C for $\lambda_k := \lambda$
- Kirk (2001): Uniformity w.r.t. x_0, f for constant λ
- Kohlenbach (2001): Full uniformity for general λ_k
- K./Leustean (2003): Full uniformity for general λ_k

Application 2: The Borwein-Reich-Shafrir Theorem

THEOREM 26 (Borwein-Reich-Shafrir 1992)

(X, d, W) hyperbolic space, $f : X \rightarrow X$ n.e. For the Krasnoselski-Mann iteration (x_n) starting from $x \in X$ one has

$$d(x_n, f(x_n)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} r(f),$$

where $r(f) := \inf_{y \in X} d(y, f(y))$.

Since $(d(x_n, f(x_n)))$ is non-increasing, the BRS-Theorem formalizes as either

$$(a) \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \forall x^* \in X (d(x_n, f(x_n)) < d(x^*, f(x^*)) + \varepsilon)$$

or

$$(b) \forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall x^* \in X \exists n \in \mathbb{N} (d(x_n, f(x_n)) < d(x^*, f(x^*)) + \varepsilon).$$

Only (b) meets the specification in the meta-theorem.

The refined metatheorem predicts a uniform bound depending on x, x^*, f only via $b \geq d(x, x^*), d(x, f(x))$ and on (λ_k) only via k, α :

THEOREM 27 (K./Leustean, AAA2003)

Let (X, d, W) be a hyperbolic space, $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, k, \alpha$ as before.
 $f : X \rightarrow X$ n.e., $x, x^* \in X$ with $d(x, x^*), d(x, f(x)) \leq b$. Then

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall n \geq \Psi(k, \alpha, b, \varepsilon) \quad (d(x_n, f(x_n)) < d(x^*, f(x^*)) + \varepsilon),$$

where

$$\Psi(k, \alpha, b, \varepsilon) := \hat{\alpha}(\lceil 2b \cdot \exp(k(M + 1)) \rceil \div 1, M),$$

$$\text{with } M := \left\lceil \frac{1+2b}{\varepsilon} \right\rceil \text{ and}$$

$$\hat{\alpha}(0, M) := \tilde{\alpha}(0, M), \quad \hat{\alpha}(m + 1, M) := \tilde{\alpha}(\hat{\alpha}(m, M), M) \text{ with}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}(m, M) := m + \alpha(m, M) \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Definition 28

$f : X \rightarrow X$ is **directionally nonexpansive** (Kirk 2000) if

$$\forall x \in X \forall y \in [x, f(x)] (d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d(x, y)).$$

THEOREM 29 (K./Leustean, AAA2003)

The previous theorem (and bound) also holds for directionally nonexpansive mappings of $d(x, x^*) \leq b$ is strengthened to $d(x_n, x_n^*) \leq b$ for all n .

**Applications of the uniform BRS:
The approximate fixed point property for product
spaces**

Let (X, ρ, W) be a hyperbolic space and M a metric space with AFPP for nonexpansive mappings.

Let $\{C_u\}_{u \in M} \subseteq X$ be a family of convex sets such that there exists a nonexpansive *selection* function $\delta : M \rightarrow \bigcup_{u \in M} C_u$ with

$$\forall u \in M (\delta(u) \in C_u).$$

Consider subsets of $(X \times M)_\infty$:

$$H := \{(x, u) : u \in M, x \in C_u\}.$$

If $P_1 : H \rightarrow \bigcup_{u \in M} C_u$, $P_2 : H \rightarrow M$ are the projections, then for any nonexpansive function $T : H \rightarrow H$ w.r.t. d_∞ satisfying

$$(*) \quad \forall (x, u) \in H \quad ((P_1 \circ T)(x, u) \in C_u)$$

we can define for each $u \in M$, the nonexpansive function

$$T_u : C_u \rightarrow C_u, \quad T_u(x) = (P_1 \circ T)(x, u).$$

We denote the Krasnoselski-Mann iteration starting from $x \in C_u$ and associated with T_u by (x_n^u) $((\lambda_n)$ as before).

$r_S(F)$ always denotes the **minimal displacement** of F on S .

Application 3 (K./Leustean, NA 2006)

THEOREM 30 (K./Leustean) Assume that $T : H \rightarrow H$ is nonexpansive with $(*)$ and $\sup_{u \in M} r_{C_u}(T_u) < \infty$. Suppose there exists $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$ s.t.

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall v \in M \exists x^* \in C_v \quad (\rho(\delta(v), x^*) \leq \varphi(\varepsilon) \wedge \wedge \rho(x^*, T_v(x^*)) \leq \sup_{u \in M} r_{C_u}(T_u) + \varepsilon).$$

Then
$$r_H(T) \leq \sup_{u \in M} r_{C_u}(T_u).$$

THEOREM 31 (K./Leustean) Assume that there is $b > 0$ s.t.

$$\forall u \in M \exists x \in C_u (\rho(\delta(u), x) \leq b \wedge \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N} (\rho(x_n^u, x_m^u) \leq b)).$$

Then $r_H(T) = 0$.

COROLLARY 32 (K./Leustean) Assume that $\{C_u\}_{u \in M} \subseteq X$ is a family of **bounded** convex sets such that there is $b > 0$ with the property that

$$\forall u \in M (\text{diam}(C_u) \leq b).$$

Then H has AFPP for nonexpansive mappings $T : H \rightarrow H$ satisfying (*).

COROLLARY 33 (Kirk 2004) If $C_u := C$ constant and C bounded, then H has the approximate fixed point property.

Uniform approximate fixed point property

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of functions $T : C \rightarrow C$.

C has **uniform approximate fixed point property (UAFPP)** if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $b > 0$ there exists $M > 0$ s.t. for any point $x \in C$ and $T \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\rho(x, T(x)) \leq b \Rightarrow \exists x^* \in C (\rho(x, x^*) \leq M \wedge \rho(x^*, T(x^*)) < \varepsilon).$$

C has the **uniform asymptotic regularity property** if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $b > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. for any point $x \in C$ and $T : C \rightarrow C$,

$$\rho(x, T(x)) \leq b \Rightarrow \forall n \geq N (\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) < \varepsilon),$$

where (x_n) is the Krasnoselski iteration $(\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2})$.

THEOREM 34 (K./Leustean) The following are equivalent:

- 1) C has UAFPP for nonexpansive functions;
- 2) C has the uniform asymptotic regularity property ;

One can prove that the following naive version of UAFPP

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists M > 0 \forall x \in C \forall T : C \rightarrow C \text{ nonexpansive} \\ \exists x^* \in C (\rho(x, x^*) \leq M \wedge \rho(x^*, T(x^*)) < \varepsilon).$$

even just for **constant functions** T is equivalent to C being **bounded**.

C has **uniform fixed point property (UFPP)** for \mathcal{F} if for all $b > 0$ there exists $M > 0$ s.t. for any point $x \in C$ and $T \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\rho(x, T(x)) \leq b \Rightarrow \exists x^* \in C (\rho(x, x^*) \leq M \wedge T(x^*) = x^*).$$

Example:

Assume that (X, ρ) is a complete metric space. Let \mathcal{F} be the class of contractions with a common contraction constant k . Then each closed subset C of X has the UFPP for \mathcal{F} .

Open problem: Are there unbounded convex sets X (in suitable hyperbolic spaces) with the UAFPP for nonexpansive functions?

**Proof Mining:
Applications of Proof Theory to Analysis IV**

Ulrich Kohlenbach
Department of Mathematics
Darmstadt University of Technology
Germany

MAP 2006, Castro Urdiales 9.-13. January 2006

Application 4

Let $(X, d, W), (\lambda_n), f : X \rightarrow X, (x_n)$ be as in the Ishikawa-Goebel-Kirk theorem.

THEOREM 35 (Ishikawa, Goebel, Kirk) If previous assumptions and X **compact**, then (x_n) converges towards a fixed point.

Proof: By Ishikawa-Goebel-Kirk: $d(x_n, f(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$. (x_n) has subsequence (x_{n_k}) whose limits \hat{x} must be a fixed point. Since $d(x_{n+1}, \hat{x}) \leq d(x_n, \hat{x})$, already (x_n) converges to \hat{x} . \square

Proposition 36 (K., NA2005) There exists a computable sequence $(f_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ of nonexpansive functions $f_l : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for $\lambda_n := \frac{1}{2}$ and $x_0^l := 0$ and the corresponding Krasnoselski iterations (x_n^l) there is **no computable function** $\delta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall m \geq \delta(l) (|x_m^l - x_{\delta(l)}^l| \leq \frac{1}{2}).$$

Problem:

Cauchy property $\forall \epsilon \exists \delta$ rather than $\forall \epsilon \exists$ (asymptotic regularity).

Best possible: Bound on the **no-counterexample interpretation:**

$$(H) \forall g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \forall k \exists n \forall j_1, j_2 \in [n; n + g(n)] (d(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}) < 2^{-k}).$$

THEOREM 37 (K.,NA2005) There exists a **computable functional Ψ** such that for any **rate of asymptotic regularity Φ** and any **modulus of total boundedness α** for C , any g, k :

$$\exists n \leq \Psi(\Phi, \alpha, g, k) \forall j_1, j_2 \in [n; n + g(n)] (d(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}) < 2^{-k}).$$

Ψ has any **uniformity** Φ has!

Asymptotic regularity special case where $g(n) := 1$ since
 $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = \lambda_n d(x_n, f(x_n)).$

For $g(n) := C$ (constant): **no total boundedness** required.

For general g : **total boundedness** known to be **necessary**.

The bound in the previous theorem is given by

$$\Psi(\Phi, \alpha, g, k) := \max_{i \leq \alpha(k+3)} \Psi_0(i, k, g, \Phi),$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_0(0, k, g, \Phi) := 0 \\ \Psi_0(n+1, k, g, \Phi) := \Phi \left(2^{-k-2} / \left(\max_{l \leq n} g(\Psi_0(l, k, g, \Phi)) + 1 \right) \right). \end{cases}$$

Application 5: Groetsch's theorem

THEOREM 38 (K., JMAA 2003)

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a **uniformly convex** normed linear space with modulus of uniform convexity η , $d > 0$, $C \subseteq X$ a (non-empty) convex subset, $f : C \rightarrow C$ nonexpansive and $(\lambda_k) \subset [0, 1]$ and $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\gamma(n)} \lambda_s (1 - \lambda_s) \geq n \right).$$

Then for all $x \in C$ such that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists y \in C (\|x - y\| \leq d \wedge \|y - f(y)\| < \varepsilon)$$

one has

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall k \geq h(\varepsilon, d, \gamma) (\|x_k - f(x_k)\| \leq \varepsilon),$$

where $h(\varepsilon, d, \gamma) := \gamma \left(\frac{3(d+1)}{2\varepsilon \cdot \eta\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d+1}\right)} \right)$.

Moreover, if $\eta(\varepsilon)$ can be written as $\eta(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \cdot \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon)$ with

$$(*) \quad \forall \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in (0, 2] (\varepsilon_1 \geq \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon_1) \geq \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon_2)),$$

then the bound $h(\varepsilon, d, \gamma)$ can be replaced by

$$\tilde{h}(\varepsilon, d, \gamma) := \gamma \left(\frac{d+1}{2\varepsilon \cdot \tilde{\eta}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d+1}\right)} \right).$$

Recently: generalization to uniformly convex hyperbolic spaces and **quadratic bounds for CAT(0)-spaces**

(L. Leustean 2005, see his talk).

Definition 39 (Goebel/Kirk,1972) $f : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be **asymptotically nonexpansive with sequence**

$(k_n) \in [0, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 0$ and

$$\|f^n(x) - f^n(y)\| \leq (1 + k_n)\|x - y\|, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x, y \in C.$$

$$x_0 := x \in C, \quad x_{n+1} := (1 - \lambda_n)x_n + \lambda_n f^n(x_n).$$

Let $\Phi : \mathbb{Q}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$\forall q \in \mathbb{Q}_+^* \exists m \leq \Phi(q) (\|x_m - f(x_m)\| \leq q).$$

THEOREM 40 (K.,NA2005) The previous theorem also holds for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in normed spaces (with a more complicated $\Psi(\Phi, \alpha, k, g)$).

Asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings

Definition 41 (Schu,1991) $f : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be **uniformly λ -Lipschitzian** ($\lambda > 0$) if

$$\|f^n(x) - f^n(y)\| \leq \lambda \|x - y\|, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x, y \in C.$$

Definition 42 (Dotson,1970) $f : C \rightarrow C$ is **quasi-nonexpansive** if

$$\|f(x) - p\| \leq \|x - p\|, \quad \forall x \in C, \forall p \in \text{Fix}(f).$$

Definition 43 (Shrivastava,1982) $f : C \rightarrow C$ is **asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive** with $k_n \in [0, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\|f^n(x) - p\| \leq (1 + k_n) \|x - p\|, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in X, \forall p \in \text{Fix}(f).$$

For asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings $f : C \rightarrow C$ the **Krasnoselski-Mann iteration with errors** is

$$x_0 := x \in C, \quad x_{n+1} := \alpha_n x_n + \beta_n f^n(x_n) + \gamma_n u_n,$$

where $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1$ and $u_n \in C$.

Relying on previous results of Opial(67), Dotson(70), Schu(91), Rhoades(94), Tan/Xu(94), Xu(98), Zhou(01/02) we have

Definition 44

$f : C \rightarrow C$ is **asymptotically weakly quasi-nonexpansive** if

$$\exists p \in \text{Fix}(f) \wedge \forall x \in C \forall n \in \mathbb{N} (\|f^n(x) - p\| \leq (1 + k_n)\|x - p\|).$$

THEOREM 45 (K./Lambov,2004) Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a uniformly convex space and $C \subseteq X$ convex. $(k_n) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\sum k_n < \infty$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \in [0, 1]$ such that $1/k \leq \beta_n \leq 1 - 1/k$,

$\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1$ and $\sum \gamma_n < \infty$. $f : C \rightarrow C$ uniformly

Lipschitzian and asymptotically weakly quasi-nonexpansive and

(u_n) be a bounded sequence in C . Then the following holds:

$$\|x_n - f(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Application 6

(Proc. Fixed Point Theory, Yokohama Press 2004)

THEOREM 46 (K./Lambov) $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ uniformly convex with η . $C \subseteq X$ convex, $x \in C$, $f : C \rightarrow C$, $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n, k_n, u_n$ as before with $\sum \gamma_n \leq E$, $\sum k_n \leq K$, $\forall n (\|u_n - x\| \leq u)$.

If f is λ -uniformly Lipschitzian and

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists p_\varepsilon \in C \left(\begin{array}{l} \|f(p_\varepsilon) - p_\varepsilon\| \leq \varepsilon \wedge \|p_\varepsilon - x\| \leq d \wedge \\ \forall y \in C \forall n (\|f^n(y) - f^n(p_\varepsilon)\| \leq (1 + k_n) \|y - p_\varepsilon\|) \end{array} \right).$$

Then

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n \leq \Phi(\|x_n - f(x_n)\| \leq \varepsilon),$$

where

$$\Phi := \Phi(K, E, k, d, \lambda, \eta, \varepsilon) := \left\lceil \frac{3(5KD + 6E(U + D) + D)k^2}{\tilde{\varepsilon}\eta(\tilde{\varepsilon}/(D(1 + K)))} \right\rceil + 1,$$

$$D := e^K(d + EU), U := u + d,$$

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} := \varepsilon / (2(1 + \lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2))).$$

Application 7 (B. Lambov, ENTCS2005)

THEOREM 47 (Hillam 1975) Let $f : [u, v] \rightarrow [u, v]$ be Lipschitz continuous with constant L . For any $x_0 \in [u, v]$ define

$$x_{n+1} := (1 - \lambda)x_n + \lambda f(x_n), \text{ where } \lambda := 1/(L + 1).$$

Then (x_n) converges to a fixed point of f .

Based on an extension of a result due to Matiyasevich, B. Lambov proved

THEOREM 48 Under the same assumptions as above. If f has a unique fixed point with modulus of uniqueness η then

$$\forall m > \Phi(k) (|x_m - x_{\Phi(k)}| \leq 2^{-k}),$$

where $\Phi(k) := 2(v - u)2^{\eta(k + \lceil \log_2(L+1) \rceil)}$.

Literature

Comprehensive survey:

Kohlenbach, U., Proof Interpretations and the Computational Content of Proofs. Draft of book. vi+410pp., Jan. 2006

- 1) Bellin, G., Ramsey interpreted: a parametric version of Ramsey's theorem. In: Logic and computation (Pittsburgh, PA, 1987), pp. 17-37, Contemp. Math., 106, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1990).
- 2) Berger, U., Buchholz, W., Schwichtenberg, H., Refined Program Extraction from Classical Proofs. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 114, pp. 3-25 (2002).
- 3) Berardi, S., Bezem, M., Coquand, T., On the computational

content of the axiom of choice. J. Symbolic Logic **63** pp. 600-622 (1998).

- 4) Briseid, E.M., Proof Mining Applied to Fixed Point Theorems for Mappings of Contractive Type. Master Thesis, 70pp., Oslo 2005.
- 5) Briseid, E.M., Fixed points for generalized contractive mappings. Preprint 13pp., Dec. 2005.
- 6) Briseid, E.M., A rate of convergence for continuous asymptotic contractions. Preprint 6pp., Dec. 2005.
- 7) Coquand, T., Hofmann, M., A new method for establishing conservativity of classical systems over their intuitionistic version. Lambda-calculus and logic. Math. Structures Comput. Sci. **9**, pp. 323-333 (1999).

- 8) Delzell, C., Kreisel's unwinding of Artin's proof-Part I. In: Odifreddi, P., Kreiseliana, 113-246, A K Peters, Wellesley, MA (1996).
- 9) Ferreira, F., Oliva, B., Bounded functional interpretation. To appear in: Ann. Pure Appl. Logic.
- 10) Gerhardy, P., A quantitative version of Kirk's fixed point theorem for asymptotic contractions. To appear in: J. Math. Anal. Appl.
- 11) Gerhardy, P., Kohlenbach, U., Strongly uniform bounds from semi-constructive proofs. To appear in: Ann. Pure Appl. Logic.
- 12) Gerhardy, P., Kohlenbach, U., General logical metatheorems for functional analysis. Submitted.

- 13) Hayashi, S., Nakata, M., Towards limit computable mathematics. In: Callaghan, P. (ed.), TYPES 2000, Springer LNCS **2277**, pp. 125-144 (2002).
- 14) Hernest, M.-D., Kohlenbach, U., A complexity analysis of functional interpretations. Theoretical Computer Science **338**, pp. 200-246 (2005).
- 15) Kohlenbach, U., Effective moduli from ineffective uniqueness proofs. An unwinding of de La Vallée Poussin's proof for Chebycheff approximation. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **64**, pp. 27–94 (1993).
- 16) Kohlenbach, U., New effective moduli of uniqueness and uniform a-priori estimates for constants of strong unicity by logical analysis of known proofs in best approximation theory.

- Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz. **14**, pp. 581–606 (1993).
- 17) Kohlenbach, U., Analysing proofs in analysis. In: W. Hodges, M. Hyland, C. Steinhorn, J. Truss, editors, *Logic: from Foundations to Applications. European Logic Colloquium* (Keele, 1993), pp. 225–260, Oxford University Press (1996).
- 18) Kohlenbach, U., On the computational content of the Krasnoselski and Ishikawa fixed point theorems. In: Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on Computability and Complexity in Analysis, J. Blanck, V. Brattka, P. Hertling (eds.), Springer LNCS **2064**, pp. 119-145 (2001).
- 19) Kohlenbach, U., A quantitative version of a theorem due to Borwein-Reich-Shafrir. Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz. **22**, pp. 641-656 (2001).

- 20) Kohlenbach, U., Uniform asymptotic regularity for Mann iterates. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **279**, pp. 531-544 (2003)
- 21) Kohlenbach, U., Some logical metatheorems with applications in functional analysis. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357**, pp. 89-128 (2005).
- 22) Kohlenbach, U., Some computational aspects of metric fixed point theory. *Nonlinear Analysis* **61**, pp. 823-837 (2005).
- 23) Kohlenbach, U., Leuştean, L., Mann iterates of directionally nonexpansive mappings in hyperbolic spaces. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol.2003, no.8, pp. 449-477 (2003).
- 24) Kohlenbach, U., Leuştean, L., The approximate fixed point property in product spaces. To appear in: *Nonlinear Analysis*.

- 25) Kohlenbach, U., Lambov, B., Bounds on iterations of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings. To appear in: Proceedings of the International Conference on Fixed Point Theory, Valencia 2003, Yokohama Publishers 2004.
- 26) Kohlenbach, U., Oliva, P., Proof Mining: A Systematic Way of Analyzing Proofs in Mathematics. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **242**, pp. 136-164 (2003)
- 27) Kohlenbach, U., Oliva, P., Proof mining in L_1 -approximation. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **121**, pp. 1-38 (2003).
- 28) Lambov, B., Rates of convergence of recursively defined sequences. In: Brattka, V., Staiger, L., Weihrauch, E., Proc. of the 6th Workshop on Computability and Complexity in Analysis, vol. 120 of Electronic Notes in Theoretical

Computer Science, pp. 125-133 (2005).

- 29) Leuştean, L., A quadratic rate of asymptotic regularity for CAT(0)-spaces. Submitted.
- 30) Luckhardt, H., Herbrand-Analysen zweier Beweise des Satzes von Roth: Polynomiale Anzahlschranken. J. Symbolic Logic 54, pp. 234-263 (1989).
- 31) Oliva, P., On the computational complexity of L_1 -approximation. Math. Logic Quarterly 48,s1, pp. 66-77 (2002).