

Program Extraction in Constructive Analysis

Helmut Schwichtenberg

Mathematisches Institut, Universität München

Motivation

Bishop: “mathematics as a numerical language” .

Extract programs from proofs, for **exact** real numbers.

Special emphasis on low type level witnesses (making use of separability).

Here: approximate solutions of ODEs.

Ordinary differential equations

Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous, $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. A **solution** of

$$y' = f(x, y), \quad (1)$$

on an interval I is a continuous function $\varphi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with a continuous derivative φ' such that $(x, \varphi(x)) \in D$ and

$$\varphi'(x) = f(x, \varphi(x)) \quad (x \in I)$$

Uniqueness

Theorem. Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Assume that f satisfies a Lipschitz condition w.r.t. its 2nd argument:

$$|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| \leq L|y_1 - y_2|$$

with $L > 0$. Let $\varphi, \psi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two solutions of (1). If $\varphi(a) = \psi(a)$ for some $a \in I$, then $\varphi(x) = \psi(x)$ for all $x \in I$.

The example $y' = y^{1/3}$ with $y(0) = 0$ shows that the Lipschitz condition is **necessary** for uniqueness: we have two solutions $\varphi(x) = 0$ und $\varphi(x) = (\frac{2}{3}x)^{3/2}$.

Peano's existence theorem for ODEs

... does not require a Lipschitz condition.

But: Peano's existence theorem entails that for every real x we can decide whether $x \geq 0$ or $x \leq 0$ (Aberth 1970).

Hence: **cannot** expect to be able to prove it constructively.

Picard's existence theorem for ODEs

Theorem. On $R: |x - a_0| \leq h, |y - b_0| \leq Mh$, let f be continuous and bounded by M . Assume that f satisfies a Lipschitz condition w.r.t. its 2nd arg. Let $\varphi_0(x) := b_0$,

$$\varphi_{n+1}(x) := b_0 + \int_{a_0}^x f(t, \varphi_n(t)) dt, \quad |x - a_0| \leq h.$$

Then $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly and absolutely to a solution of (1).

Algorithmic problem: For $\varphi_{n+1}(x)$ one needs φ_n on $[a_0, x]$.

The Cauchy-Euler method

Simple idea: polygons (\Rightarrow possibly adaptive). What is an “approximate solution”? (a) It satisfies (1) up to ε . (b) It differs from the exact solution by at most ε . We aim for (b), but initially only get (a):

Theorem. On $R: |x - a_0| \leq h, |y - b_0| \leq Mh$, let f be continuous and bounded by M . We can construct an approximate solution (a polygon) $\varphi_n: [a_0 - h, a_0 + h] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (1) up to the error 2^{-n} such that $\varphi_n(a_0) = b_0$.

The fundamental inequality

Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous, and satisfy a Lipschitz condition w.r.t. its second argument. Let

$$\varphi, \psi: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

be solutions up to $2^{-k}, 2^{-l}$ of (1). Assume $\varphi \leq \psi$ on $[a, b]$, or else that φ and ψ are rational polygons. Then

$$|\psi(x) - \varphi(x)| \leq e^{L(x-a)} |\psi(a) - \varphi(a)| + \frac{2^{-k} + 2^{-l}}{L} (e^{L(x-a)} - 1)$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$.

The Cauchy-Euler existence theorem for ODEs

Theorem. On $R: |x - a_0| \leq h, |y - b_0| \leq Mh$, let f be continuous and bounded by M . Assume that f satisfies a Lipschitz condition w.r.t. its 2nd arg. Let φ_n be the rational polygon, which is an approximate solution of (1) up to the error 2^{-n} :

$$|\varphi_n'(x) - f(x, \varphi_n(x))| \leq 2^{-n} \text{ for } x \in I \text{ with } \varphi_n'(x) \text{ defined.}$$

(φ_n) converges uniformly and absolutely to a soln of (1).

Algorithmic note: φ_n is **not** defined recursively.

Approximate and exact solutions

Theorem. Assume the hypotheses of the Cauchy-Euler Theorem. Let $\varphi: [a_0 - h, a_0 + h] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an exact solution of (1) such that $\varphi(a_0) = b_0$, φ_n be an approximate solution up to the error 2^{-n} such that $\varphi_n(a_0) = b_0$, and $\varphi \leq \varphi_n$ or $\varphi_n \leq \varphi$. Then there is a constant c independent of n such that $|\varphi(x) - \varphi_n(x)| \leq 2^{-n}c$ for $|x - a_0| \leq h$.

Proof. By the Fundamental Inequality

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi_n(x)| \leq 2^{-n} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1}{L}(e^{Lh} - 1)}_c$$

Tools

... for algorithmically reasonable proofs: Small variants of Bishop/Bridges' development of constructive analysis.

Idea: use separability to avoid high type levels. Where?

- “Order located” instead of “totally bounded” .
- Continuity in \mathbb{R} , and \mathbb{R}^2 .
- Uniformly convergent sequences of functions.

Reals

A **real number** x is a pair $((a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \alpha)$ with $a_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\alpha: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a_n)_n$ is a Cauchy sequence with modulus α , that is

$$\forall k, n, m. \alpha(k) \leq n, m \rightarrow |a_n - a_m| \leq 2^{-k},$$

and α is weakly increasing.

Two reals $x := ((a_n)_n, \alpha)$, $y := ((b_n)_n, \beta)$ are **equivalent** (written $x = y$), if

$$\forall k (|a_{\alpha(k+1)} - b_{\beta(k+1)}| \leq 2^{-k}).$$

Nonnegative and positive reals

A real $x := ((a_n)_n, \alpha)$ is **nonnegative** (written $x \in \mathbb{R}^{0+}$) if

$$\forall k (-2^{-k} \leq a_{\alpha(k)}).$$

It is **k -positive** (written $x \in_k \mathbb{R}^+$) if

$$2^{-k} \leq a_{\alpha(k+1)}.$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^{0+}$ and $x \in_k \mathbb{R}^+$ are compatible with equivalence.

Can define $x \mapsto k_x$ such that $a_n \leq 2^{k_x}$ for all n .

However, $x \mapsto k_x$ is **not** compatible with equivalence.

Arithmetical Functions

Given $x := ((a_n)_n, \alpha)$ and $y := ((b_n)_n, \beta)$, define

z	c_n	$\gamma(k)$
$x + y$	$a_n + b_n$	$\max(\alpha(k + 1), \beta(k + 1))$
$-x$	$-a_n$	$\alpha(k)$
$ x $	$ a_n $	$\alpha(k)$
$x \cdot y$	$a_n \cdot b_n$	$\max(\alpha(k + 1 + k_{ y }),$ $\beta(k + 1 + k_{ x }))$
$\frac{1}{x}$ for $ x \in_l \mathbb{R}^+$	$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{a_n} & \text{if } a_n \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } a_n = 0 \end{cases}$	$\alpha(2(l + 1) + k)$

Cleaning up a real

After some computations involving reals, rationals in the Cauchy sequences may become complex. Hence: **clean up** a real, as follows.

Lemma. For every real $x = ((a_n)_n, \alpha)$ we can construct an equivalent real $y = ((b_n)_n, \beta)$ where the rationals b_n are of the form $c_n/2^n$ with integers c_n , and with modulus $\beta(k) = k + 2$.

Proof. $c_n := \lfloor a_{\alpha(n)} \cdot 2^n \rfloor$. □

Redundant dyadic representation of reals

The existence of the usual b -adic representation of reals cannot be proved constructively (1.000... vs .999...).

Cure: in addition to $0, \dots, b-1$ also admit -1 as a numeral.

For $b = 2$:

Lemma. Every real x can be represented in the form

$$\sum_{n=-k}^{\infty} a_n 2^{-n} \quad \text{with } a_n \in \{-1, 0, 1\}.$$

Notice: uniqueness is lost (this is not a problem).

Comparison of reals

Write $x \leq y$ for $y - x \in \mathbb{R}^{0+}$ and $x < y$ for $y - x \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

$$x \leq y \leftrightarrow \forall k \exists p \forall n. p \leq n \rightarrow a_n \leq b_n + 2^{-k}$$

$$x < y \leftrightarrow \exists k, q \forall n. q \leq n \rightarrow a_n + 2^{-k} \leq b_n$$

Write $x <_{k,q} y$ (or simply $x <_k y$ if q is not needed) when we want to call these witnesses.

Notice: $x \leq y \leftrightarrow y \not< x$.

Approximate Splitting Principle. Let x, y, z be given and assume $x < y$. Then we can find k, q such that either $z <_{k,q} y$ or $x <_{k,q} z$.

Proof. Let $x := ((a_n)_n, \alpha)$, $y := ((b_n)_n, \beta)$, $z := ((c_n)_n, \gamma)$. From $x < y$ obtain p, k such that with $\varepsilon := 2^{-k}$

$$\forall n. p \leq n \rightarrow a_n + 3\varepsilon \leq b_n - 3\varepsilon.$$

Let $q := \max(\alpha(k), \beta(k), \gamma(k), p)$. Cases: $c_q \leq b_q - 3\varepsilon$ or $b_q - 3\varepsilon < c_q$. □

$z < y$ or $x < z$ depends on the representation of x, y, z .

Suprema

Let S be a set of reals. A real y is an **upper bound** of S if $x \leq y$ for all $x \in S$. A real y is a **supremum** of S if y is an upper bound of S , and for every rational $a < y$ there is a real $x \in S$ such that $a \leq x$.

A set S of reals is **order located above** if for every $a < b$, either $x \leq b$ for all $x \in S$ or else $a \leq x$ for some $x \in S$.

Least-Upper-Bound Principle. Let S be an inhabited set of reals that is bounded above. Then S has a supremum iff it is order located above.

A **continuous function** $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a compact interval I with rational end points is given by

- an **approximating map** $h_f: (I \cap \mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ and a (uniform) **modulus map** $\alpha_f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $(h_f(c, n))_n$ is a real with modulus α_f ;

- $\omega_f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ (uniform) **modulus of continuity**:

$$|a - b| \leq 2^{-\omega_f(k)+1} \rightarrow |h_f(a, n) - h_f(b, n)| \leq 2^{-k}$$

for $n \geq \alpha_f(k)$. α_f, ω_f required to be weakly increasing.

Notice: h_f, α_f, ω_f are **of type level 1 only**.

Application $f(x)$ of a continuous f (given by h_f, α_f, ω_f) to a real $x := ((a_n)_n, \alpha)$ is defined to be

$$(h_f(a_n, n))_n$$

with modulus $k \mapsto \max(\alpha_f(k + 2), \alpha(\omega_f(k + 1) - 1))$.

Can show:

$$x = y \rightarrow f(x) = f(y),$$

$$|x - y| \leq 2^{-\omega_f(k)} \rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| \leq 2^{-k}.$$

Composition of continuous functions

Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Assume that $h_f[(I \cap \mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{N}] \subseteq J$. Then $g \circ f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$h_{g \circ f}(a, n) := h_g(h_f(a, n), n)$$

$$\alpha_{g \circ f}(k) := \max(\alpha_g(k + 2), \alpha_f(\omega_g(k + 1) - 1))$$

$$\omega_{g \circ f}(k) := \omega_f(\omega_g(k) - 1) + 1$$

Bound for the range of f

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous, given by h_f , α_f and ω_f .

Then for all $n \geq n_0 := \alpha_f(0)$ and rationals $c \in I$

$$|h_f(c, n)| \leq M := |h_f(a, n_0)| + N + 1,$$

where $(c - a)2^{\omega_f(0)-1} \leq N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence: range of f is bounded above by M .

Supremum $\|f\|_I$ of $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

... can be shown to exist constructively. Bishop's proof uses "totally bounded sets", a type level 2 concept:

A k -net for a set S of reals is given by a finite list y_i ($i < n_k$) of reals in S , and a map $\text{sel}_k: S \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n_k - 1\}$ (of type level 2): $|y_i - x| \leq 2^{-k}$, with $i := \text{sel}_k(x)$.

S is totally bounded if for every k we have a k -net for S .

We prove instead that the range is order located above, which entails that it has a supremum:

Lemma. Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Then the range of f is order located above. ($\Rightarrow \|f\|_I$ exists).

Proof. Given $a < b$, fix k such that $2^{-k} \leq \frac{1}{3}(b - a)$. Take a partition a_0, \dots, a_l of I of mesh $\leq 2^{-\omega_f(k)+2}$. Then for every $c \in I$ there is an i such that $|c - a_i| \leq 2^{-\omega_f(k)+1}$. Let $n_k := \alpha_f(k)$ and consider all finitely many

$$h(a_i, n_k) \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, l.$$

Let $h(a_j, n_k)$ be the maximum of all those.

If $h(a_j, n_k) \leq a + \frac{1}{3}(b - a)$, then $f(x) \leq b$ for all x .

If $a + \frac{1}{3}(b - a) < h(a_j, n_k)$, then $a \leq f(a_j)$. □

Approximate intermediate value theorem

For every continuous $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f(a) \leq 0 \leq f(b)$, and every k , we can find $c \in [a, b]$ such that $|f(c)| \leq 2^{-k}$.

Problem: need to partition $[a, b]$ into as many pieces as the modulus of the continuous function requires.

Reason: f may be flat.

Cure: use more knowledge on f .

$f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **locally nonconstant** whenever if $a \leq a' < b' \leq b$ and c is arbitrary, then $f(x) \neq c$ for some $x \in [a', b']$.

Intermediate Value Theorem. If $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous with $f(a) < 0 < f(b)$, and locally nonconstant, then we can find $x \in [a, b]$ with $f(x) = 0$.

Proof. Construct $(c_n)_n$ and $(d_n)_n$ such that for all n

$$a = c_0 \leq c_1 \leq \cdots \leq c_n < d_n \leq \cdots \leq d_1 \leq d_0 = b,$$

$$f(c_n) < 0 < f(d_n),$$

$$d_n - c_n \leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n (b - a).$$

Example: $f: [1, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ mapping $x \mapsto x^2 - 2$, given by

- the approximating map $h_f(a, n) := a^2 - 2$,
- the uniform Cauchy modulus $\alpha_f(k) := 0$, and
- the modulus $k \mapsto k + p - 1$ of uniform continuity, where $p := 2$ is such that $|a + b| \leq 2^p$ for $a, b \in [1, 2]$, because

$$|a - b| \leq 2^{-k-p} \rightarrow |a^2 - b^2| = |(a - b)(a + b)| \leq 2^{-k}.$$

Clearly $f(1) < 0 < f(2)$, and f is strictly monotonic. Hence: proof of $\exists x \in [1, 2](f(x) = 0)$ contains **algorithm for $\sqrt{2}$** . (Implemented in Coq with Pierre Letouzey; very fast).

Differentiation

Let $f, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. g is called **derivative** of f with modulus $\delta_f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ if for $x, y \in I$ with $x < y$,

$$y \leq x + 2^{-\delta_f(k)} \rightarrow |f(y) - f(x) - g(x)(y - x)| \leq 2^{-k}(y - x).$$

A bound on f' serves as a **Lipschitz constant** for f :

Lemma. Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous with derivative f' . Let f' be bounded by M . Then for $x, y \in I$ with $x < y$,

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq M(y - x).$$

Lemma (Rolle). Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous with derivative f' , and assume $f(a) = f(b)$. Then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find $c \in [a, b]$ such that $|f'(c)| \leq 2^{-k}$.

Mean Value Theorem. Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous with derivative f' . Then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find $c \in [a, b]$ such that

$$|f(b) - f(a) - f'(c)(b - a)| \leq 2^{-k}(b - a).$$

Integration

Assume that $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous with modulus ω_f .

$$S(f, a, b, n) := \frac{b-a}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_f(a_i, n) \quad \text{with } a_i := a + \frac{i}{n}(b-a)$$

Then $(S(f, a, b, n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence of rationals with modulus $\alpha(p) = 2^{\omega_f(p+q+1)}(b-a)$, where q is such that $b-a \leq 2^q$; we denote this real by

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

Fundamental theorem of calculus

Given a continuous $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $c \in [a, b]$, we can establish

$$F(x) := \int_c^x f(t) dt$$

as a continuous function, via

$$h_F(a, n) := S(f, c, a, n),$$

$$\alpha_F(k) := \max(\alpha_f(0), 2^{\omega_f(k+1)}),$$

$$\omega_F(k) := \max(p + k, \omega_f(k + 1)),$$

where p is such that $h_f(b_i, n) \leq 2^p$, for $n \geq \alpha_f(0)$.

Fundamental theorem of calculus (ctd.)

Theorem. Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous, $c \in [a, b]$ and

$$F(x) := \int_c^x f(t) dt.$$

Then F has f as derivative, with modulus ω_f . If G is any differentiable function on $[a, b]$ with $G' = f$, then the difference $F - G$ is a constant function.

Corollary. Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and $F: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F' = f$. Then for all $a, b \in I$

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = F(b) - F(a).$$

Related work on exact real numbers

- Redundant b -adic notation (Wiedmer '80, Boehm & Cartwright '90, Ciaffaglione & Di Gianantonio '99)
- Continued fractions (Gosper '90, Vuillemin '90)
- Möbius transformation as a unifying approach to real computation (Edalat & Potts '97)
- PCF + real number data type (Di Gianantonio '93, '96, Escardó '96)
- ODEs via domain theory (Edalat & Pattinson '03)

Related work on program extraction

1. Luis Cruz-Filipe: Thesis in Nijmegen 2004 (Geuvers), on C-CoRN.
2. Stefan Berghofer: “Proofs, Programs and Executable Specifications in Higher Order Logic”, 2003 (Nipkow).
3. Monika Seisenberger: “On the Constructive Content of Proofs”, 2003.

C-CoRN: Constructive Coq Repository at Nijmegen

Lecture by Herman Geuvers on friday. Grew out of the FTA project. Comments:

- **Strong extensionality** required: $\forall x, y. f(x) \# f(y) \rightarrow x \# y$.
Missing witness harmful for program extraction.
- The **Set**, **Prop** distinction in Coq was found to be insufficient. Introduced **CProp** in addition.
- Alternative: use modified realizability interpretation for (internal) program extraction. Soundness proof can be machine generated.

Conclusion

- Constructive analysis with witnesses of low type level. Type level 1 representation of continuous functions.
- The Cauchy-Euler construction of approximate solutions to ODEs as a type level 1 process.

Future work

1. Case studies for program extraction. (Kneser's proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra, cf. Geuvers et al. in Nijmegen and Letouzey in Paris).
2. Resource sensitivity. Gödel's T can be restricted (using ramification and linearity) such that the definable functions are the poly-time ones [BNS '00, Hofmann]. Work with corresponding arithmetical system.